2171112.2

Mitchell Silberberg & 28
Knupp LLP

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
	3	
	4	
1	5	
	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2		
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	

Defendant Capitol Records ("Capitol" or "Defendant") by its attorneys, Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP, answers the Complaint of Plaintiff Joe Santriani ("Plaintiff) as follows:

1. Defendant avers that Paragraph 1 of the Complaint sets forth legal conclusions that do not require a response, except Defendant admits that Plaintiff purports to assert a claim arising under the Copyright Act (Title 17, U.S.C. § 101 et seq), and purports to base subject matter jurisdiction in this action on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338. Defendant denies the remaining averments of Paragraph 1 and specifically denies it is liable to Plaintiff under the Copyright Act or otherwise.

2. Defendant avers that Paragraph 2 of the Complaint sets forth legal conclusions that do not require a response, except that Defendant admits that Plaintiff avers that venue in this Court is proper. Defendant further admits and avers that it carries out business in Los Angeles and has its principal place of business in New York. Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining averments of Paragraph 2, and on that basis denies said averments.

3. Defendant lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the averment of Paragraph 3, and on that basis denies said averments.

4. Defendant admits that Christopher Martin, Jonathan Buckland, Guy Berryman, and William Champion perform as the recording group "Coldplay." Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining averments of Paragraph 4, and on that basis denies said averments.

27

26

- 5. Defendant avers that Capitol Records is a d/b/a of Capitol Records, LLC, with its principal place business in New York. Defendant denies the remaining averments of Paragraph 5.
- 6. Defendant admits that the Complaint refers collectively to Martin, Buckland, Berryman, Champion, and Capitol as "Defendants," but deny that they are agents of one another.

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 7. Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments of Paragraph 7, and on that basis denies said averments.
- 8. Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments of Paragraph 8, and on that basis denies said averments.
- 9. Defendant admits that Plaintiff has attached to the Complaint what he avers to be a copy of the U.S. Copyright Office Certificate of Registration for the musical composition "If I Could Fly." Defendant avers that such Certificate speaks for itself. Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining averments of Paragraph 9, and on that basis denies said averments.
- 10. Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments of Paragraph 10, and on that basis denies said averments.
- 11. Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments of Paragraph 11, and on that basis denies said averments.

12.	Defendan	t lacks knowle	edge and	l inforn	nation	sufficient to	form	a belief
as to the	averments	of Paragraph	12, and	on that	basis	denies said a	verme	ents.

- 13. Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments of Paragraph 13, and on that basis denies said averments.
 - 14. Defendant admits the averments of Paragraph 14.
- 15. Defendant admits and avers that Capitol Records is a d/b/a of Capitol Records, LLC with its principal place of business in New York and that Capitol distributes and exploits sound recordings created by Coldplay. Defendant denies the remaining averments in Paragraph 15.
- 16. Defendant admits that "Viva la Vida or Death and All His Friends" was released by Capitol Records in North America in June 2008, and that "Viva la Vida" became a "hit." Defendant denies the remaining averments of Paragraph 16.
- 17. Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments of Paragraph 17, and on that basis denies said averments.
 - 18. Defendant denies the averments of Paragraph 18.
- 19. Defendant admits that it never sought or obtained permission to use "If I Could Fly" in "Viva la Vida," and denies any obligation to do so. Defendant denies that "Viva la Vida" infringes Plaintiff's claimed copyright in any manner. Defendant denies the remaining averments of Paragraph 19.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9)
10)
11	
12	•
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	,
18	,
19)
20)
21	
22	,
23	,
24	
25	,
26	
27	,
20	,

20. Defendant denies the averments of Paragraph 20, and specifically denies
that "If I Could Fly" is used in "Viva la Vida" or that "Viva la Vida" infringes
Plaintiff's claimed copyright in any manner.

- 21. Defendant denies the averments of Paragraph 21, and specifically denies that "If I Could Fly" is used in "Viva la Vida" or that "Viva la Vida" infringes Plaintiff's claimed copyright in any manner.
- 22. Defendant denies the averments of Paragraph 22 and specifically denies that "If I Could Fly" is used in "Viva la Vida" or that "Viva la Vida" infringes Plaintiff's claimed copyright in any manner.
- 23. Defendant denies the averments of Paragraph 23 and specifically denies that "If I Could Fly" is used in "Viva la Vida" or that "Viva la Vida" infringes Plaintiff's claimed copyright in any manner.

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Copyright Infringement)

(Plaintiffs against all Defendants)

- 24. Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 23 as though fully stated herein.
- 25. Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments of Paragraph 25, and on that basis denies said averments.

Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP 2171112.2

1	
2	33. Defendant denies the averments of Paragraph 33.
3	
4	34. Defendant denies the averments of Paragraph 34.
5	
6	35. Defendant denies the averments of Paragraph 35, and specifically denies
7	that Plaintiff is entitled to any constructive trust.
8	
9	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
10	(For an accounting)
11	(By Plaintiff against all Defendants)
12	
13	36. Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1
14	through 35 as though fully stated herein.
15	·
16	37. Defendant denies the averments of Paragraph 37.
17	
18	38. Defendant denies the averments of Paragraph 38.
19	
20	39. Defendant denies the averments of Paragraph 39.
21	
22	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
23	As and for its affirmative defenses, Defendant avers as follows:
24	
25	<u>FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
26	(Failure to State a Claim)
27	40. The Complaint, and each purported claim therein, fails to state facts
28	sufficient to constitute a claim for relief.

Mitchell Silberberg & 28 Knupp LLP 2171112.2

1	
2	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
3	(Independent Creation)
4	41. "Viva la Vida" was independently created without reference to "If I
5	Could Fly."
6	
7	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	(No Originality)
9	42. "If I Could Fly" lacks originality and is thus not protectable by
10	copyright.
11	
12	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
13	(Fair Use)
14	43. To the extent any protectable expression contained in "If I Could Fly"
15	was used in "Viva la Vida," such use is protected, and not actionable, under the
16	doctrine of fair use.
17	
18	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19	(Estoppel)
20	44. Plaintiff is estopped by his own conduct from maintaining his claims.
21	
22	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	(Waiver)
24	45. Plaintiff has, through his own actions, conduct, and failure to act,
25	waived any right to relief.
26	
27	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
28	(Laches)

Mitchell Silberberg & 28
Knupp LLP
2171112.2

1	46. Plaintiff's claims are barred as a result of their unreasonable delay, to
2	the prejudice of Defendant.
3	
4	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
5	(Unclean Hands)
6	47. Plaintiff's claims are barred as a result of Plaintiff's unclean hands
7	
8	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9	(De Minimus)
10	48. To the extent any protectable expression contained in "If I Could Fly"
11	was used in "Viva la Vida," such use is de minimus.
12	
13	
14	WHEREFORE Defendant prays that Plaintiff take nothing by his Complaint;
15	that Defendant be awarded its attorneys' fees and full costs under Section 505 of
16	the Copyright Act, and for any other relief the Court deems just and proper.
17	
18	DATED: April, 2009 RUSSELL J. FRACKMAN DAVID A. STEINBERG
19	MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP
20	By: (UMELL) Tuy mm
21	Russell J. Frackman
22	David A. Steinberg Attorneys for Defendant Capitol Records
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
27	

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	
. 3	Defendant hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues triable to a jury.
4	a de la companya de
5	DATED: April 6, 2009 RUSSELL J. FRACKMAN
6	DAVID A. STEINBERG MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP
7	Almold O. Fall mone
8	By: [WIDU \ J\WWW] Russell J. Frackman
9	Russell J. Frackman David A. Steinberg Attorneys for Defendant Capitol Records
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Mitchell Silberberg & 28 Knupp LLP 2171112.2

25

26

27

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over 3 the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LEP, 11377 West Olympic Boulevard, Los Angeles, 4 California 90064-1683. 5 On April 6, 2009, I served a copy of the foregoing document(s) described as **ANSWER OF DEFENDANT CAPITOL RECORDS TO COMPLAINT** on the interested parties in this action at their last known address as set forth below by 7 taking the action described below: 9 Howard King, Esq. King Holmes Paterno & Berliner, LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 25th Floor 10 Los Angeles, CA 90067 11 Attorney for Plaintiff 12 **BY MAIL:** I placed the above-mentioned document(s) in sealed 13 envelope(s) addressed as set forth above, and deposited each envelope in the mail at Lòs Angeles, California. Each envelope was mailed with postage 14 thereon fully prepaid. 15 ☐ BY OVERNIGHT MAIL: I placed the above-mentioned document(s) in sealed envelope(s) designated by the carrier, with delivery fees provided for, and addressed as set forth above, and deposited the above-described 16 document(s) with in the ordinary course of business, by depositing the document(s) in a facility regularly maintained by the carrier or delivering the 17 document(s) to an authorized driver for the carrier. 18 ☐ BY PERSONAL DELIVERY: I placed the above-mentioned document(s) in sealed envelope(s), and caused personal delivery by of the 19 document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth above. 20 ☐ BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I served the above-mentioned document 21 electronically at ______.m. on the parties listed at the email addresses above and, to the best of my knowledge, the transmission was complete and 22 without error in that I did not receive an electronic notification to the 23 contrary. (310) , at am/pm, from facsimile number (310) , before placing the above-described document(s) in sealed envelope(s) addressed as set forth above, I sent a copy of the above-described document(s) to each of the individuals set forth above at the facsimile numbers listed above. The transmission was reported as complete and without arran. The transmission report was preparly issued by the ☐ BY FAX: On 24 am/pm, from facsimile number 25

 $_{\text{Silberberg \&}}^{\text{Mitchell}} 28$ Knupp LLP 2171112.2

26

27

and without error. The transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine, and a copy of that report is attached hereto.

Mitchell Silberberg & 28
Knupp LLP
2171112.2

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the above is true and correct.

Executed on April 6, 2009, at Los Angeles, California.

Lindsey Lee